Intelligent Control Systems

### Image Processing (2) — Filtering and Geometric Transforms —

Shingo Kagami Graduate School of Information Sciences, Tohoku University swk(at)ic.is.tohoku.ac.jp

http://www.ic.is.tohoku.ac.jp/ja/swk/

### Sample codes for this week

- Open <a href="https://github.com/shingo-kagami/ic.git">https://github.com/shingo-kagami/ic.git</a>
- Click the green button "Code" and click "Download Zip"
- Copy the files whose names start from ic02\*\*\* to C:¥ic2022¥sample

```
If you are a Git user, you may simply run:
```

```
cd C:¥ic2022¥sample
git pull
```

## **Taxonomy of Image Processing**

input	output	example
image	image (2-D data)	color conversion, edge detection, smoothing, coordinate transforms, 
	feature values (1-D vector, scalar values, etc.)	histogram, position, object label,

## Image to Image



point operation  $G_{i,j}$  depends only on  $F_{i,j}$  (thresholding, pixel value conversion, color conversion, ...)

local operation / neighboring operation  $G_{i,j}$  depends on pixels within some neighborhood of  $\ F_{i,j}$ 

global operation  $G_{i,j}$  depends on almost all the pixels in {  $F_{i,j}$  }

### Image to Image



point operation G<sub>i,i</sub> depends only on F<sub>i,i</sub>

### local operation / neighboring operation $G_{i,j}$ depends on pixels within some neighborhood of $F_{i,j}$

global operation

 $G_{i,j}$  depends on almost all the pixels in {  $F_{i,j}$  }

### Local operation example: Spatial Filter

 $G_{x,y}$  depends on some neighborhood (e.g. 3×3, 5×5 pixels, etc.) of the point of interest (x,y)



#### Typical examples: smoothing, edge detection

## Important Example: Smoothing

- Output at (x, y): some representative value of the set of neighbor pixels around (x, y), e.g. mean, weighted mean, median
- Used for: e.g. noise reduction, scale-space processing



# **Linear Spatial Filtering**

- Smoothing with (possibly weighted) mean is an example of linear spatial filtering (while smoothing with median is nonlinear)
- Computed by convolving a weight matrix (filter coefficients, filter kernel, or mask) to input image



### Examples of 3x3 smoothing weight matrices



 When computational cost matters (and historically it often mattered), integer computation is favored. In such cases, care must be taken for overflow of values

### Implementation of 3x3 filtering

```
ic02_filter3x3.py:
weight = 1.0 / 8 * np.array([[0, 1, 0],
                             [1, 4, 1],
                             [0, 1, 0]])
for j in range(1, height - 1):
                                        Generates [1, 2, ..., height - 2]
    for i in range(1, width - 1):
                                        (a lazy way of boundary handling)
        sum = 0.0
        for n in range(3):
           for m in range(3):
               sum += weight[n, m] * src[j + n - 1, i + m - 1]
           dest[j, i] = sum
                               Unlike the mathematical definition, the
                               center coordinate of weight is not (0, 0)
                               but (1, 1)
```

# OpenCV functions for common filters

```
Generic function for linear spatial filter cv2.filter2D()
```

Dedicated functions for well-known filters

```
cv2.GaussianBlur()
cv2.Sobel()
cv2.Laplacian()
```

Nonlinear filters

```
cv2.medianBlur()
cv2.dilate()
cv2.erode()
```

•••

...

### Gaussian: most widely used smoothing kernel

$$g_{\sigma}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}\} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\{-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}\} \\ = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp\{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}\}$$



- Appropriate for smoothing both in theory and in practice in many aspects. see e.g. [Lindeberg 1994] [Florack 1992]
- Discretized in space for digital computation
- Weight values are sometimes rounded to integer (favoring computational cost)
- Amount of smoothing can be controlled by parameter σ (Note that large σ requires large matrix size)

### Frequency-domain understanding

$$G_{x,y} = \sum_{m=-N}^{N} \sum_{n=-N}^{N} w_{m,n} F_{x+m,y+n}$$
  
=  $w_{-x,-y} * F_{x,y} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F} [w_{-x,-y}] \cdot \mathcal{F} [F_{x,y}]$   
 $\mathcal{F}[\cdot] : 2\text{-D discrete Fourier transform}$   
ico2\_filter3x3\_fft.py  
$$\underbrace{\frac{0 \ 1 \ 0}{1 \ 4 \ 1}}_{0 \ 1 \ 0} \xrightarrow{\text{(zero-padded to 256x256 and)}}_{\mathcal{F}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}}$$

Recall: Fourier transform of Gaussian function is Gaussian

Shingo Kagami (Tohoku Univ.) Intelligent Control Systems 2022 (2)

# **Edge Detection**

- Spatial gradient
- (approximated by finite difference)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} F_{x,y} \simeq \frac{F_{x+1,y} - F_{x-1,y}}{2}$$

$$\frac{0}{1/2} \frac{0}{-1} \frac{0}{0} \frac{0}{1}$$

$$\frac{1/2}{0} \frac{0}{0} \frac{-1}{0} \frac{0}{0}$$
in x direction
$$\frac{1/2}{0} \frac{0}{0} \frac{-1}{0} \frac{0}{0}$$

$$\frac{0}{0} \frac{-1}{0} \frac{0}{0}$$

in y direction

0

0

0



Often combined with smoothing

Sobel filter in x direction



in y direction

Shingo Kagami (Tohoku Univ.) Intelligent Control Systems 2022 (2)

0

0

0

2

0

0

0

### Edge detection by 2<sup>nd</sup> order derivative

- Edge = zero crossing of 2nd order derivative
- Laplacian  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$  is the lowest-order isotropic differential operator (i.e. equally responds to edges in any direction)



• Discrete Laplacian operator is realized by adding 2nd order differentials  $f_{i+1} - 2 f_i + f_{i-1}$  of x and y directions

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} F_{x,y} \simeq \frac{\frac{F_{x+1,y} - F_{x,y}}{1} - \frac{F_{x,y} - F_{x-1,y}}{1}}{1}$$
$$= F_{x+1,y} - 2F_{x,y} + F_{x-1,y}$$



# Sharpening

Subtract the Laplacian image from the original image to yield an edge-enhanced image



0	0	0
0	1	0
0	0	0

0	1	0
1	-4	1
0	1	0

=

0	-1	0
-1	5	-1
0	-1	0

### Frequency-domain visualization



### **Deep Convolutional Neural Networks**



Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, Geoffrey E. Hinton, ImageNet Classification with Deep Convolutional Neural Networks, NIPS 2012.

### Image to Image



point operation  $G_{i,j}$  depends only on  $F_{i,j}$ 

# local operation / neighboring operation $G_{i,j}$ depends on pixels within some neighborhood of $F_{i,j}$

### global operation

 $G_{i,j}$  depends on almost all the pixels in {  $F_{i,j}$  }

### Global operation example: Warping

### ic02\_warp.py:





 $\{ F_{x,y} \}$   $\{ G_{x,y} \}$ 

•  $G_{x,y}$  is sampled from  $F_{x',y'}$  where (x', y') is determined from (x, y)

## Important Geometric Transforms

### Translation

$$\begin{pmatrix} x'\\y'\\1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & t_x\\0 & 1 & t_y\\0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x\\y\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$

### Similarity Transform

### **Rigid Transform**

$$\begin{pmatrix} x'\\y'\\1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta & t_x\\\sin\theta & \cos\theta & t_y\\0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x\\y\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Affine Transform

$$\begin{pmatrix} x'\\y'\\1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \cos\theta & -\alpha \sin\theta & t_x\\\alpha \sin\theta & \alpha \cos\theta & t_y\\0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x\\y\\1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} x'\\y'\\1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & t_x\\c & d & t_y\\0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x\\y\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Homography Transform (Projective Transform, Perspective Transform, Collineation)

$$\begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \propto \begin{pmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & h_{13} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} & h_{23} \\ h_{31} & h_{32} & h_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

# Homography Transform



$$\begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \propto \begin{pmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & h_{13} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} & h_{23} \\ h_{31} & h_{32} & h_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$H$$

- Represents perspective mapping from a plane to a plane in 3D space
- Note the proportionality sign (instead of equality sign); the matrix-vector product on the right-hand side must be normalized such that 3<sup>rd</sup> entry becomes 1
- Therefore, *H* multiplied by an arbitrary scale factor gives the same mapping as *H*
- Because it has 8 degrees of freedom, H is computed when n (n ≥ 4) corresponding points are given

## Understanding Homography



has origin at optical center

## Homography Warping by OpenCV

```
ic02_warp.py:
```

```
H = cv2.getPerspectiveTransform(src_pnts, dest_pnts)
result = cv2.warpPerspective(frame, H, dest_size)
```

## Implementation of Homography Warp



## **Taxonomy of Image Processing**

input	output	example
image	image (2-D data)	color conversion, edge detection, smoothing, coordinate transforms, 
	feature values (1-D vector, scalar values, etc.)	histogram, position, object label,

## Histogram of Pixel Values



$$H = \{H_u\}_{u=1,2,\cdots,m}, \ H_u = \sum_{x \in S(u)} 1$$

where S(u) is a set of pixels having values belonging to the bin u

If you run ic02\_histogram.py from Spyder environment, you may need to execute in IPython console to open the graph plot window:

%matplotlib auto

### Histogram of Gradient Orientations



Histogram of orientations of pixel value gradients reflects the overall edge structure of the image

## Implementation of Histogram Computation



### ic02\_histogram\_orient\_grad.py:

```
hist = np.zeros(n_bins, dtype=np.float32)
```

## Exercises (Not Assignments)

Confirm that  $\frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is a rough approximation of 2D Gaussian function with  $\sigma = 0.6$ 

Copy and modify ic02\_warp.py to apply affine transform instead of homography transform using OpenCV functions cv2.getAffineTransform and cv2.warpAffine. Note that three pairs of corresponding points are needed (instead of four pairs needed in homography).



### References

- R. Szeliski: Computer Vision: Algorithms and Applications, Springer, 2010. (コンピュータビジョン, アルゴリズムと応用, 共立出版, 2013)
- A. Kaehler, G. Bradski: Learning OpenCV 3, O'Reilly, 2017. (詳解 OpenCV 3, オライリー・ジャパン, 2018)
- A. Hornberg eds.: Handbook of Machine Vision, Wiley-VCH, 2006.
- ディジタル画像処理編集委員会,ディジタル画像処理,CG-ARTS協会, 2015.
- T. Lindeberg: Scale-space theory: A basic to ol for analysing structures at different scales, J. Applied Statistics, vol. 21, no. 2, pp.225-270, 1994.
- L. M. J. Florack, B. M. T. Romeny, J. J. Koenderink and M. A. Viergever: Scale and the Differential Structure of Images, Image and Vision Computing, vol.10, no.6, pp.376-388, 1992.