Intelligent Control Systems

Speeding-up Techniques of Image Processing

Shingo Kagami Graduate School of Information Sciences, Tohoku University swk(at)ic.is.tohoku.ac.jp

http://www.ic.is.tohoku.ac.jp/ja/swk/

# Fast Image Processing

- We have learned basics of image processing and a few standard methods of visual tracking
- In some respects, we have ignored performance issues
  - The same computation may be achieved by different algorithms
  - The same algorithm may become fast or slow depending on the way it is coded
- Bearing in mind real-time applications (e.g. visual servoing), we will learn speeding-up techniques for image processing

#### Algorithm Choice Example: Gaussian Filter

$$G_{x,y} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} w_{i,j} F_{m+i,n+j}$$

 m× n kernel convolution requires computational time proportional to *mn* for each pixel



• When the kernel is separable as  $w_{x,y} = u_x v_y$ , the cost becomes proportional to m + n:

$$G_{x,y} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} u_i v_j F_{m+i,n+j} = \sum_{i} u_i \left(\sum_{j} v_j F_{m+i,n+j}\right)$$

e.g.: 
$$w_{x,y} = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp\{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}\}\$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}\} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\{-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}\}$ 

# **Besides Algorithm Choices**

- The most important thing is to choose good algorithms
  - Fast Fourier Transform
  - separable filters
  - nonlinear optimization (vs. full search)
- Even if the same algorithm is used, performance can be significantly affected by implementation
- Let's see how a simple sample program can be speeded up:

Highlighting frame difference of 640x480 images
Using OpenCV functions: 1 ~ 2 ms
Naive Implementation: 2 ~ 3 ms

# Highlighting Frame Difference: Algorithm

```
Images input, gray, prev_gray, output;
```

```
Repeat {
   // color conversion from BGR to Gray
   for each (i,j) {
       gray(i,j) := BGR2GRAY(input(i,j))
   }
   // take frame difference and highlight
   for each (i,j) {
       output(i,j) :=
         { blue, |gray(i,j) - prev_gray(i,j)| > threshold
    gray(i,j), otherwise
   }
   // save current frame
   for each (i,j) {
       prev_gray(i,j) := gray(i,j)
   }
```

#### How to Measure Elapsed Time

```
Using OpenCV functions:
```

```
double t_begin = (double)cv::getTickCount();
/* the code to be measured */
double t_end = (double)cv::getTickCount();
double delta_in_ms =
   1000.0 * (tick_end - tick_begin) / cv::getTickFrequency();
```

Or, you can use my library *stattimer* (Get stattimer.hpp from http://code.google.com/p/stattimer/ and put it somewhere in your include path):

```
#include "stattimer.hpp"
STimerList st;
```

```
st.start("label1");
/* the code to be measured */
st.stop("label1");
```

#### The results are reported when the program finishes

# Outline

- Local Optimization of Coding
- Pixel Access Methods
- Loop Optimization
- Parallel Processing

## Common Sense: What are slow?

fast

slow

integer operations >>> floating point operations

add, sub, logic >> multiplication >>>>>> division

arithmetic/logic >> jump >>> function call pipeline hazard stack&register operation overhead

arithmetic/logic >>>>> memory access

local/continuous memory access >>>> global/random access cache memory principle

mutually-independent instructions >>> dependent instructions superscalar pipeline principle

# Tech. 1: Table Lookup

 If an expensive operations can be done beforehand and the results can be stored in memory, the operations can be replaced by table lookups

 $\dots$  = (r \* 306 + g \* 601 + b \* 117) / 1024;

... = (b2gray[b] + g2gray[g] + r2gray[r]) / 1024;

Pros: reduces costly operations Cons: increases memory access

integer operations >>> floating point operations
add, sub, logic >> multiplication >>>>>>> division
arithmetic/logic >> jump >>> function call
arithmetic/logic >>>>> memory access

# Tech. 2: Strength Reduction

• The same algorithm may be achieved by weaker (less computationally expensive) operations

```
if (diff > 30 || diff < -30) {
    img.at<cv::Vec3b>(j, i)[0] = 255;
    img.at<cv::Vec3b>(j, i)[1] = 0;
} else {
    img.at<cv::Vec3b>(j, i)[0] = g;
    img.at<cv::Vec3b>(j, i)[1] = g;
}
```

```
int active = ((diff > 30 || diff < -30) && 255);
img.at<cv::Vec3b>(j, i)[0] = g | active;
img.at<cv::Vec3b>(j, i)[1] = g & ~active;
```

add, sub, logic >> multiplication >>>>>>> division arithmetic/logic >> jump >>> function call

# Tech. 3: Bulk Memory Copy

- Instead of copying pixels by iterating through the memory, you can try memcpy
- Using this is possible only when the copied data are stored in a continuous area of memory
  - e.g.: To copy a sub rectangle in an image, memcpy must be done line by line
- •memset sometimes will be also useful

## Results (each part)



- Spec: Core i7-4600U 2.1 GHz, 16 GB memory
- Some work better; Some work worse!

# Note: Row or Column Major Access



local/continuous memory access >>>> global/random access

## Results (each part)



# Outline

- Local Optimization of Coding
- Pixel Access Methods
- Loop Optimization
- Parallel Processing

#### Tech. 4: Pixel Access Methods



add, sub, logic >> multiplication >>>>>>> division

## Results (each part)



In my environment (Visual Studio 2012), treating a color pixel (cv::Vec3b) in bulk like outp[i] = cv::Vec3b(255, 0, 0); is ridiculously slow

# Outline

- Local Optimization of Coding
- Pixel Access Methods
- Loop Optimization
- Parallel Processing

# Tech. 5: Loop Fusion

```
for (j = 0; j < height; j++) {</pre>
    for (i = 0; i < width; i++) {</pre>
         f(...);
for (j = 0; j < height; j++) {</pre>
    for (i = 0; i < width; i++) {</pre>
         q(...);
for (j = 0; j < height; j++) {</pre>
    for (i = 0; i < width; i++) {</pre>
         f(...);
         q(...);
```

- smaller loop overheads
- improved memory locality
- more independent instructions within a loop
- Iocal/continuous memory access >>>> global/random access mutually-independent instructions >>> dependent instructions

# Tech. 6: Loop Unrolling

```
for (i = 0; i < N; i++) {
   f(i, ...) = ...
}
for (i = 0; i < N; i += 4) {
    f(i, ...) = ...
    f(i+1, ...) = ...
    f(i+2, ...) = ...
    f(i+3, ...) = ...
```

smaller loop overheads
more independent instructions within a loop

mutually-independent instructions >>> dependent instructions

# Results (total)





# Outline

- Local Optimization of Coding
- Pixel Access Methods
- Loop Optimization
- Parallel Processing

# Tech. 7: Multi-Threading

- Image processing in general has high data parallelism, and thus parallel processing is effective
- One of the easiest way is to parallelize for loops into multiple threads using OpenMP
  - Threads will be executed in multiple cores
  - Visual C++ supports OpenMP by default
     Config. properties C/C++ Language OpenMP

```
#pragma omp parallel for num_threads(4)
for (j = 0; j < height; j++) {
   for (i = 0; i < width; i++) {
        image.at<uchar>(j, i) = ...
   }
}
```

# Tech. 8: SIMD Extensions

- SIMD: Single Instruction stream, Multiple Data stream cf. MIMD
- Many recent processors have extended instruction set to perform SIMD operations
  - MMX, SSE, AVX (intel)
- In SSE, eight 128-bit registers (xmm0, ... xmm7) are used
  - sixteen 8-bit data, eight 16-bit data, four 32-bit data, or two 64-bit data are processed at a time



# How to Program

In happiest case, your compiler may use SIMD automatically

```
for (int j = 0; j < height; j++) {
    uchar *img_ptr = image.ptr<uchar>(j);
    for (int i = 0; i < width; i++) {
        img_ptr[i] = 255 - img_ptr[i];
    }
}</pre>
```

Visual Studio 2012 (x64) generates SIMD for this code

```
for (int j = 0; j < height; j++) {
    uchar *img_ptr = image.ptr<uchar>(j);
    for (int i = 0; i < width; i++) {
        img_ptr[i] = ~img_ptr[i];
    }
} But it doesn't for this!</pre>
```

Use compiler intrinsics: easiest way to explicitly use SIMD •common for Visual C++ and GCC

```
float sum = 0.0f;
for (i = 0; i < N; i++) {
    sum += w[i] * x[i];
}
___m128 sum = _mm_setzero_ps();
for (i = 0; i < N; i += 4) {
    ___m128 ws = __mm_loadu_ps(&w[i]);
    _m128 xs = _mm_loadu_ps(&x[i]);
    sum = _mm_add_ps(sum, _mm_mul_ps(ws, xs));
}
```

## Results (each part)



Note the large deviation when OpenMP is enabled

# Results (total)





# Summary

- Pixel Scan Order
  - Pixels should be accessed in the order in which they are stored (row major in OpenCV)
- Pixel Access Methods
  - •at() is slow! Using ptr() instead significantly improves
    the performance
- Other Optimizations
  - strength reduction, table lookup, loop fusion, loop unrolling
- Parallel Processing
  - OpenMP, SIMD extension, (GPU was not mentioned today)
- Some work fine; Some do not (Some may work even worse)
  - Trial & error are needed
  - Trade-off between performance and maintainability
  - Too early optimization should be avoided

### References

- D. Bulka and D. Mayhew: Efficient C++: Performance Programming Techniques, Addison-Wesley, 1999.
- https://bitbucket.org/swkagami/stattimer (as of 2016/7/25)

(in Japanese)

- 片山: Cプログラム高速化研究班, USP研究所, 2012.
- x86/x64 SIMD命令一覧表 (SSE~AVX2), http://www.officedaytime.com/tips/simd.html (as of 2016/7/25)

Sample codes are available at http://www.ic.is.tohoku.ac.jp/~swk/lecture/